10 11 12 For the Northern District of Californi. 13 14 15 16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Irina Vladimirovna Astafieva,

Plaintiff,

NO. C 06-04820 JW

v. Alberto Gonzales, et al., ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S ΓΙΤΙΟΝ FOR HEARING ON NATURALIZATION APPLICATION **PURSUANT TO 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b)**

Defendants.

Plaintiff Irina Vladimirovna Astafieva ("Plaintiff") brings this action for a declaratory judgment that she is entitled to be naturalized pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1421. Before the Court is Plaintiff's Petition for Hearing on Naturalization Application Pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b). Based upon the papers submitted to date, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Petition for a hearing on her naturalization application.

Plaintiff is a United States resident who resides within the Court's jurisdiction. (Petition for Hearing on Naturalization Application, hereafter "Petition," Docket Item No.1.) On September 5, 2003, Plaintiff filed an application for naturalization with the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"). (Petition ¶ 28.) On May 6, 2004, she was examined on her application by a USCIS agent at the San Jose sub-office of USCIS's San Francisco District Office. (Petition ¶ 28.) She was informed that she passed the same day, but that a decision could not yet be made on her application. (Petition ¶ 6.) Since the date of her examination, Plaintiff and her supporters, including her congressional representative and present counsel, have made several inquiries as to the status of her application to no avail. (Petition ¶ 32-33). It has been over 22

Title 8 of the United States Code Section 1447(b) provides that if the government fails to
make a determination on an applicant's naturalization file within 120 days after it conducts an
examination, the applicant may apply to the United States District Court for the district in which the
applicant resides for a hearing on the matter. The majority of courts have interpreted the word
"examination" to mean the date of the examination with a USCIS officer, not the entire examination
process required prior to someone being naturalized. Daami v. Gonzales, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS

months in excess of the 120 statutory grace period but still no decision has been made with respect

Plaintiff's examination occurred on May 6, 2004; the 120-day statutory period for Defendants to make a determination on her application has expired. The Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Petition for a hearing on her naturalization application. The hearing is set for **January 22, 2007 at 9 AM.** The parties are to complete their briefings, if any, by January 8, 2007. In light this ORDER, the Court vacates the case management conference presently set for November 27, 2006.

Dated: November 21, 2006

to Plaintiff's application. (Petition ¶ 35.)

37539 at *5 (D. N.J. May 22, 2006).

United States District Judge

For the Northern District of California

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Angela Dee Bortel <u>abortel@youradvocate.net</u> Christopher Anthony Kerosky <u>ckerosky@youradwokat.com</u> Edward A. Olsen <u>edward.olsen@usdoj.gov</u> Ila Casy Deiss <u>ila.deiss@usdoj.gov</u>	
	By: /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia Courtroom Deputy